## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE GREENEVILLE

KERMIT HOWARD PENLEY,	)	
by and through his next friend and	)	
mother, Beatrice Penley	)	
	)	
V.	)	NO. 2:05-CV-278
	)	
STATE OF TENNESSEE	)	

## **MEMORANDUM and ORDER**

Beatrice Penley brings this "Next Friend Petition" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, on behalf of her son, Kermit Howard Penley, who is a state prisoner. The petition challenges the constitutionality of Mr. Penley's incarceration, pursuant to a 2002 state court conviction for first degree murder and subsequent life sentence.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to serve a copy of the petition and this Order on the respondent and the Attorney General for the State of Tennessee. Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. However, for the reasons which appear below, the respondent is not ordered to answer and the petition will be dismissed.

As a threshold requirement in this petition, as in any other, a litigant must show that he has standing to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court. *Demosthenes v. Baal*, 495 U.S. 731, 737 (1990) (stating that courts must ensure adequate basis under

habeas statutes for exercise of federal power). For Ms. Penley to have standing to

pursue this habeas corpus petition as a next friend, she must show that her son cannot

litigate his own cause due to "inaccessibility, mental incompetence, or other

disability" and that she is "truly dedicated to the best interests" of her son. Whitmore

v. Arkansas, 495 U.S. 149, 163-64 (1990). "The burden is on the next friend clearly

to establish the propriety of his status and thereby justify the jurisdiction of the court."

Franklin v. Francis, 144 F.3d 429, 432 (6th Cir. 1998) (citation and internal quotation

marks omitted).

Even if the Court makes the natural assumption that Ms. Penley is acting

in her son's best interest, there is absolutely no evidence in the petition that he is

incompetent or otherwise incapable of pursuing his own action. Thus, she has failed

to bear her burden of showing that Mr. Penley is unable to direct these proceedings

on his own behalf. Therefore, the Court lacks jurisdiction over this next-friend

petition and will dismiss it, by separate order, for this reason.

**ENTER:** 

s/Thomas Gray Hull

THOMAS GRAY HULL

SENIOR U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE